

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,602	,602 09/26/2001		John Eric Hershey	RD-27364	7827
6147	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
GENERAL GLOBAL R		RIC COMPANY	· KARMIS, STEFANOS		
		RM. BLDG. K1-4A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUN	IA, NY	12309	3691		
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,602	HERSHEY, JOHN ERIC
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stefano Karmis	3691
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 2, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims	·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/01/2004 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following application has been reviewed. Original claims 1-48 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-27, 29-34, 36-41 and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levine et al. (hereinafter Levine) U.S. Patent 6,233,566.

Regarding independent claims 1, 20 and 24, Levine discloses an apparatus for securing financing and managing repayment of a loan, the apparatus comprising:

a loan request input module for receiving a request for the loan from a borrower (column 11, lines 26-50);

a processor connected to the loan request input module for processing the request for the loan (column 11, lines 26-50);

a credit evaluation module connected to the processor for determining a credit evaluation of the borrower based on the request for the loan (column 11, lines 51-54);

Art Unit: 3691

a loan determination module connected to the processor and receiving at least the credit evaluation, the loan determination module determining at least a first status of the loan based on predetermined criteria wherein terms of the loan are provided to the borrower when the first status has been determined (column 14, lines 36-41);

a financing solicitation module connected to the processor for soliciting financing for the loan from investors when the first status of the loan has been determined (column 15, lines 53-67); and

a repayment module connected to the processor for servicing and managing repayment of the loan by the borrower (column 24, line 58 thru column 25, line 24).

Claims 2, 8, 14, 16, 18, 22, 25, 32, 40 and 43 wherein the input module comprises an electronic channel connected to a wide area network (WAN) (column 9, lines 62 thru column 10, line 8).

Claims 3, 9, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26, 33, 41 and 44 wherein the wide area network (WAN) comprises the Internet (column 10, lines 8-21).

Claims 4, 10, 27 and 34, wherein the input module comprises an electronic channel connected to a public switched telephone network (column 11, lines 26-50).

Claim 6 and 29, wherein the loan request input module comprises an electronic channel connected to a voice synthesizer unit and a speech recognition system (column 14, lines 42-53).

Art Unit: 3691

Claim 7 and 30, wherein the loan request input module comprises an electronic channel connected to a dual tone multi-frequency telephone network (column 11, lines 26-50).

Claim 11, wherein the processor is connected to at least one monitor (column 18, lines 52-67).

Claim 13, wherein the processor further comprises at least one data entry terminal (Figure 2A and 2B).

Claim 21, further comprising a repayment module connected to the processor for servicing and managing repayment of the loan by the borrower (column 24, line 58 thru column 25, line 24).

Claim 31, wherein the step of obtaining the credit evaluation of the borrower comprises obtaining the credit evaluation of the borrower using a credit evaluation module (column 19, lines 37-57).

Claim 36, wherein the step of assigning the risk level to the borrower comprises determining the risk level according to at least one pre-stored risk table (column 19, lines 37-57 and column 25, line 55 thru column 26, line 18).

Art Unit: 3691

Claim 37, wherein the step of assigning the risk level to the borrower comprises determining the risk level according to predetermined criteria (column 19, lines 37-57 and column 25, line 55 thru column 26, line 18).

Claim 38, wherein the step of providing the terms of the loan to the borrower comprises determining the terms of the loan according to at least one pre-stored loan repayment schedule (column 24, lines 58 thru column 25, line 8).

Claim 39, wherein the step of providing the terms of the loan to the borrower comprises determining the terms of the loan according to predetermined criteria (column 14, lines 36-41).

Regarding independent claim 45, Levine discloses a method for securing financing for a loan, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for a loan from a borrower via a wide area network (WAN) (column 11, lines 26-50 and column 9, line 62 thru column 10, line 8);

obtaining a credit evaluation of the borrower from the wide area network (WAN) (column 11, lines 51-54 and column 19, lines 37-57);

assigning a risk level for the loan based on at least the credit evaluation of the borrower (column 25, line 55 thru column 26, line 18);

providing terms of the loan to the borrower when the risk level for the loan meets predetermined criteria via the wide area network (WAN) (column 14, lines 36-41);

Art Unit: 3691

soliciting financing for the loan via the wide area network (WAN) based on at least the terms of the loan and the risk level assigned to the loan when the risk level meets at least the predetermined criteria (column 15, lines 53-67); and

executing the loan via the wide area network (WAN) (column 24, line 58 thru column 25, line 24).

Claim 46, wherein the wide area network (WAN) comprises the Internet (column 10, lines 8-21).

Claim 47, further comprising the step of managing repayment of the loan via the wide area network (WAN) (column 24, line 58 thru column 25, line 24).

Claim 48, wherein the wide area network (WAN) comprises the Internet (column 10, lines 8-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3691

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 5, 12, 28, 35 and 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al. (hereinafter Levine) U.S. Patent 6,233,566.

Claims 5, 28 and 35, Levine teaches the use of telephone networks for communication (column 11, lines 26-50). Levine also teaches registering fax numbers (column 21, lines 41-57). Levine fails to specifically teach that the electronic channel is connected to a facsimile machine, wherein the facsimile machine is connected to a public switched telephone network. Official Notice is taken that connecting facsimile machines for communication in a network is old and well known in the financial arts. Therefore it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Levine and include facsimile machined connected to the network because Levine teaches the use of phone networks and registering fax numbers, which would be required for the communication.

Art Unit: 3691

Claim 12, Levine fails to teach that the processor is connected to at least one printer.

Official Notice is taken that printers connected to a network are old and well known in the financial arts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Levine and include connecting a printer because it allows to take the loan information from the GUI and print it out as a hardcopy.

Claim 42, Levine fails to teach the step of executing using an electronic signature of the borrower. Official Notice is taken that electronic signatures are old and well known in the financial arts. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Levine and include electronic signatures because it provides for authentication of the customers in a network environment such as that taught by Levine.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted

Stefano Karmis

30 October 2006

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER